

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES WEAVER, JR., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF STOCKTON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00990-JAM-JDP

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
SANCTIONS WITHOUT PREJUDICE

ECF No. 29

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME

ECF No. 30


On December 22, 2021, defendants filed a motion for sanctions and set it for a hearing, ECF No. 29. Defendants did not follow my procedures for discovery motions, which require parties to obtain the court's permission to file such motions.¹ Therefore, the motion is denied without prejudice, and the hearing is vacated.

On December 25, 2021, plaintiffs filed a motion for extension of time to designate responsive experts. ECF No. 30. For good cause shown, plaintiffs' motion is granted. Plaintiffs will have until January 16, 2022 to designate their responsive experts.

¹ Civil Procedures to be followed in cases assigned to Hon. Jeremy D. Peterson are available on the court website at https://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/assets/File/Procedures%20for%20Civil%20Proceedings%20Before%20JDP%206_23_21.pdf.

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2
3 Dated: December 27, 2021


JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE